

AN EXTENSION OF MERCER'S THEOREM TO UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

I. M. NOVITSKIĬ AND M. A. ROMANOV

ABSTRACT. We give some extensions of Mercer's theorem to continuous Carleman kernels inducing unbounded integral operators.

INTRODUCTION

The source of the following theorem is [12].

Mercer's theorem. *Let T be a positive, integral operator on $L_2[a, b]$ with continuous kernel $K(s, t) = \overline{K(t, s)}$ on $[a, b]^2$ ($|a|, |b| < \infty$). Then the kernel $K(s, t)$ can be represented by the bilinear series*

$$K(s, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varphi_n(s) \overline{\varphi_n(t)}$$

absolutely and uniformly convergent on $[a, b]^2$, where $\lambda_n \geq 0$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) are the eigenvalues of operator T and φ_n ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) are the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions.

Within modern theory of integral operators, the theorem just formulated is related to the problem of representing the kernel via spectrum and eigenfunctions (see, for example, [9]). In the works [2], [4], [5], [11], [13]-[15], Mercer's theorem has been generalized to wider classes of kernels inducing bounded integral operators. In the present paper, we study the problem of spectral representing for a continuous kernel in that case when the kernel induces an unbounded normal operator whose spectrum is in a sector of angle less than π with vertex at 0.

The following definitions and notions are needed in what follows. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, and let L_2 be the Hilbert space of complex-valued measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ that are square integrable in the Lebesgue sense, equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(s) \overline{g(s)} ds$$

Date: December 31, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 45B05, 45P05.

Key words and phrases. Integral operator, Mercer's theorem, Carleman kernel, bilinear expansion, spectral theorem

Translated from the Far Eastern Mathematical Reports, issue **7**, 1999, p. 123-132.

Translator: M. A. Romanov.

and the norm $\|f\| = \langle f, f \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

A linear operator $T : D_T \rightarrow L_2$, where D_T is a dense linear manifold in L_2 , is said to be *integral* if there exists a measurable almost-everywhere finite-valued function $K(s, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$, a *kernel*, such that, for every $f \in D_T$,

$$(Tf)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K(s, t)f(t) dt$$

for almost every $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

A kernel $K(s, t)$ is said to be *Carleman* if $K(s, \cdot) \in L_2$ for almost every fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

An integral operator induced by a Carleman kernel is called *Carleman operator*, and it is called *bi-Carleman* if $K(\cdot, t) \in L_2$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In the last case the kernel induces two *Carleman functions* from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to L_2 by $k(s) = \overline{K(s, \cdot)}$, $k^*(t) = K(\cdot, t)$ for all those $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for which $K(s, \cdot) \in L_2$ and $K(\cdot, t) \in L_2$. We need the following important result of Carleman operator theory

The right-multiplication lemma. ([8]). *Let $T : D_T \rightarrow L_2$ be a Carleman operator, and let $R : L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ be a bounded operator. Then the product TR is a Carleman operator with Carleman function $R^*(k(s))$, where $k(s)$ is Carleman function of T .*

If X is a locally compact space, and if B is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$, then let $C(X, B)$ denote the Banach space (with the norm $\|f\|_{C(X, B)} = \sup_X \|f(x)\|_B$) of all continuous functions from X to B vanishing at infinity (the latter means that for each fixed $f \in C(X, B)$, given an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $X(\varepsilon, f) \subset X$ such that $\|f(x)\|_B < \varepsilon$ if $x \notin X(\varepsilon, f)$).

Definition. ([15, 16]). *A kernel K of a bi-Carleman operator T is called a K^0 -kernel, if $K \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$ and its Carleman functions $k, k^* \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)$.*

In Section 1, we give an “integral” analogue of Mercer’s theorem (Theorem 1) that represents “functions of a K^0 -kernel” by uniformly convergent principal value Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals with respect to the spectral function of this kernel. In Section 2, K^0 -kernels of unbounded diagonal operators are considered. There we prove Theorem 2 on representations of K^0 -kernels by absolutely and uniformly convergent bilinear series. In the case of bounded integral operators Theorems 1 and 2 were proved in [14, 15].

1. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF K^0 -KERNELS

Let $N : D_N \rightarrow L_2$ be a normal operator, that is, a closed linear operator with a dense in L_2 domain $D_N = D_{N^*}$ and such that $NN^* = N^*N$. Let $E(\cdot)$ be the resolution of identity induced by N (see [18]). Assume that N is an integral operator having a K^0 -kernel $N(s, t)$ and Carleman functions $\nu(s) = \overline{N(s, \cdot)}$, $\nu^*(t) = N(\cdot, t)$.

Let Ω be the σ -algebra of Borel sets in $\mathbb{C}$, and let Ω_0 be the set of all $\omega \in \Omega$ whose closures in $\mathbb{C}$ do not contain 0. If χ_ω is the characteristic function of the set $\omega \in \Omega_0$, then $\chi_\omega(z) = zv_\omega(z)$, where $v_\omega(z) = \chi_\omega(z)/z$. From the multiplicative property of the spectral measure $E(\cdot)$ it follows that $E(\omega) = \chi_\omega(N) = Nv_\omega(N)$ (here and throughout, $f(N)$, given an Ω -measurable function f , denote a normal operator defined by

$$\langle f(N)h, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \langle E(dz)h, g \rangle \quad \text{for all } h, g \in D_{f(N)}$$

with domain

$$D_{f(N)} = \left\{ x \in L_2 : \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 \langle E(dz)x, x \rangle < \infty \right\}.$$

Since $B(\omega) = v_\omega(N)$ is a bounded operator for each $\omega \in \Omega_0$, it follows by the right-multiplication lemma that the orthogonal projection $E(\omega)$ is a bounded bi-Carleman operator, with the Carleman functions

$$e(s; \omega) = (B(\omega))^*(\nu(s)), \quad e^*(t; \omega) = e(t; \omega) \quad (1.0)$$

belonging to $C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)$. Since $E^2(\omega) = E(\omega)$, the kernel of $E(\omega)$ can be computed as follows

$$E(s, t; \omega) = \langle e(t; \omega), e(s; \omega) \rangle$$

for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, and hence belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition. A set function $E(s, t; \cdot) : \Omega_0 \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$ whose value on every $\omega \in \Omega_0$ is the inducing K^0 -kernel $E(s, t; \omega)$ of $E(\omega)$ is called a spectral function for the kernel $N(s, t)$.

Remark 1. For other definitions of spectral functions of Carleman kernels we refer to the works [6, 3, 10, 19, 1, 8].

Let $\omega_\varepsilon = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}$, and let Φ denote the family of all functions ϕ of the form $\phi(z) = zv(z)$ where $v(z)$ is a bounded Ω -measurable function on $\mathbb{C}$. Let Ω_ε be the algebra of all Borel subsets of ω_ε . Since $E(\omega_\varepsilon)E(\sigma) = E(\sigma)$ for each $\sigma \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, the right-multiplication lemma yields $e(s; \sigma) = E(\sigma)(e(s; \omega_\varepsilon))$ and hence

$$E(s, t; \sigma) = \langle e(t; \sigma), e(s; \sigma) \rangle = \langle E(\sigma)(e(t; \omega_\varepsilon)), e(s; \omega_\varepsilon) \rangle \quad (1.1)$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Whence it follows that

$$\|E(\cdot, \cdot; \sigma)\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})} \leq \|e(\cdot; \omega_\varepsilon)\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)}^2$$

for each $\sigma \in \Omega_\varepsilon$. The last inequality implies that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the spectral function $E(s, t; \cdot)$ is bounded on Ω_ε . Furthermore, it is additive; this property follows from that of $E(\cdot)$. Therefore, for each $\phi \in \Phi$, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(s, t) = \int_{\omega_\varepsilon} \phi(z) E(s, t; dz), \quad (1.2)$$

can be formed for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Theorem 1. *Let $N : D_N \rightarrow L_2$ be a normal, integral operator induced by a K^0 -kernel $N(s, t)$, the spectrum of which is in a sector $\mathfrak{S}$ of angle less than π with vertex at 0. Then for every $\phi \in \Phi$ operator $\phi(N)$ is also integral operator with K^0 -kernel. Moreover, the K^0 -kernel $\Phi(s, t)$ inducing $\phi(N)$ can be represented by the principal value integral with singularity at $z = 0$:*

$$\Phi(s, t) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \phi(z) E(s, t; dz) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\omega_\varepsilon} \phi(z) E(s, t; dz)$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where the integral converges to $\Phi(s, t)$ in $C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ along arbitrary decreasing sequence of positive numbers.

Proof. From multiplicative property it follows that

$$\langle \phi(N)f, h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} zv(z) \langle E(dz)f, h \rangle = \langle Nv(N)f, h \rangle \quad \text{for all } f, h \in D_{\phi(N)},$$

that is, $\phi(N) = Nv(N)$ is a Carleman operator with a kernel $\Phi(s, t)$ and a Carleman function

$$\varphi(s) = \overline{\Phi(s, \cdot)} = (v(N))^*(\nu(s)), \quad (1.3)$$

which is in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)$. Since $\phi(N)$ is a normal operator, it is bi-Carleman (see Corollary 2.19 from [8, p. 131]). In addition, the Carleman function of the adjoint

$$\langle (\phi(N))^*f, h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{zv(z)} \langle E(dz)f, h \rangle = \langle N^*(v(N))^*f, h \rangle, \quad f, h \in D_{\phi(N)}$$

has, by the right-multiplication lemma, the form

$$\varphi^*(t) = \Phi(\cdot, t) = v(N)(\nu^*(t)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

and hence belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)$ too. Using (1.0) and (1.1), compute the integral (1.2) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\varepsilon(s, t) &= \int_{\omega_\varepsilon} \phi(z) \langle E(dz)(e(t; \omega_\varepsilon)), e(s; \omega_\varepsilon) \rangle = \\ &= \int_{\omega_\varepsilon} \phi(z) \langle E(dz)(B(\omega_\varepsilon))^*(\nu(t)), (B(\omega_\varepsilon))^*(\nu(s)) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \phi(N)B(\omega_\varepsilon)(B(\omega_\varepsilon))^*(\nu(t)), \nu(s) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. It is clear that the function $\Phi_\varepsilon(s, t)$ belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$ and that this is a kernel of the integral operator $\phi(N)E(\omega_\varepsilon) = NE(\omega_\varepsilon)v(N)$ with Carleman function

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(s) = \overline{\Phi_\varepsilon(s, \cdot)} = (v(N))^*E(\omega_\varepsilon)(\nu(s)) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad (1.5)$$

belonging to $C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)$. The function $E(\{0\})(\nu(s))$ from $C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)$ is equal identically to zero on $\mathbb{R}_+$, because it is a Carleman function of the integral

operator $NE(\{0\})$, which, by the multiplicative property, is the null operator:

$$\langle NE(\{0\})f, h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} z \chi_{\{0\}}(z) \langle E(dz)f, h \rangle = 0, \quad f, h \in L_2.$$

Let $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be an arbitrary sequence decreasing to 0, and let $\omega_0 = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. By virtue of (1.3) and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi - \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)} \leq \\ & \leq \|(v(N))^*\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(E(\omega_0) - E(\omega_{\varepsilon_n}))\nu\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

because $E(\omega_{\varepsilon_n}) \rightarrow E(\omega_0)$ whenever $n \rightarrow \infty$ in strong operator topology and the set $\{\nu(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is precompact in L_2 (see [7, p. 193]). The following property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi^* - \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}^*\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)} = 0, \quad (1.6')$$

where $\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}^*(t) = \Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot, t)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ can be proved analogously.

Without loss of generality, assume that the sector $\mathfrak{S}$ is bounded by the rays $\text{Im } z = \pm l \text{Re } z$, $\text{Re } z \geq 0$, $l > 0$. It is obvious that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathfrak{S}} |v_1(z)| \leq l, \quad \text{where } v_1(z) = \frac{\text{Im } z}{\text{Re } z}. \quad (1.7)$$

Consider a self-adjoint integral operator $X = (N + N^*)/2$ induced by the K^0 -kernel $X(s, t) = \overline{X(t, s)} = (N(s, t) + \overline{N(t, s)})/2$ having the Carleman function $x(s) = \overline{X(s, \cdot)}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the bounded integral operator $X(I - E(\omega_\varepsilon))$ with a K^0 -kernel $X(s, t) - X_\varepsilon(s, t)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} X_\varepsilon(s, t) &= \int_{\mathfrak{S} \cap \omega_\varepsilon} \text{Re } z E(s, t; dz) = \\ &= \langle XB(\omega_\varepsilon)(B(\omega_\varepsilon))^*(\nu(t)), \nu(s) \rangle \quad ((s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2) \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

is the K^0 -kernel of integral operator $XE(\omega_\varepsilon)$ inducing the Carleman function $x_\varepsilon(s) = \overline{X_\varepsilon(s, \cdot)}$. This operator is positive, since

$$\langle X(I - E(\omega_\varepsilon))f, f \rangle = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \text{Re } z \chi_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \omega_\varepsilon}(z) \langle E(dz)f, f \rangle \geq 0, \quad f \in L_2.$$

Hence, its K^0 -kernel satisfies the inequality $X(s, s) - X_\varepsilon(s, s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (see [17, p. 98]), whence

$$X_\varepsilon(s, s) \leq X(s, s) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (1.9)$$

The bounded self-adjoint operator

$$x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n) = X(B(\omega_{\varepsilon_m})(B(\omega_{\varepsilon_m}))^* - B(\omega_{\varepsilon_n})(B(\omega_{\varepsilon_n}))^*)$$

is positive if $0 < \varepsilon_m \leq \varepsilon_n$:

$$\langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)f, f \rangle = \int_{\mathfrak{S} \cap (\omega_{\varepsilon_m} \setminus \omega_{\varepsilon_n})} \frac{\text{Re } z}{|z|^2} \langle E(dz)f, f \rangle \geq 0, \quad f \in L_2.$$

Substituting $f = \nu(s)$ to the last inequality and taking into account (1.8) we obtain $X_{\varepsilon_m}(s, s) \geq X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Conclude via (1.9) that the sequence $X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, s)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) of functions from $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ converges in $\mathbb{R}_+$ if $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Apply the generalized Schwarz inequality [1, p. 78] to the positive operator $x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} & |X_{\varepsilon_m}(s, t) - X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, t)|^2 = \\ & = |\langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(t)), \nu(s) \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(s)), \nu(s) \rangle \langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(t)), \nu(t) \rangle = \\ & = (X_{\varepsilon_m}(s, s) - X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, s)) (X_{\varepsilon_m}(t, t) - X_{\varepsilon_n}(t, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Whence, by (1.9), one can infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, t) - X'(s, t)| = 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where $X'(s, \cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Now apply the preceding arguments to the functions $x, x_{\varepsilon_n}, \frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{z}$ instead of $\varphi, \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}, v(z)$ respectively to conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{\varepsilon_n} - x\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)} = 0.$$

The functions $X(s, t)$ and $X'(s, t)$ are continuous with respect to t and coincide for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, because from two last limit relations it follows that, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$X(s, t) = X'(s, t)$$

for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (see [1, p. 42]). Assume that $X(\infty, \infty) = 0$ and that $X_{\varepsilon_n}(\infty, \infty) = 0$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), and conclude, by the Dini theorem, that the monotone increasing sequence of $\{X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, s)\}$ uniformly converges on the compactum $[0, \infty]$ to the function $X(s, s)$. Consequently, one can write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} |X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, s) - X(s, s)| = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

Consider the bounded operator

$$\phi(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n) = \phi(N) (B(\omega_{\varepsilon_m})(B(\omega_{\varepsilon_n}))^* - B(\omega_{\varepsilon_n})(B(\omega_{\varepsilon_m}))^*), \quad 0 < \varepsilon_m \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

By (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)f, h \rangle &= \int_{\mathfrak{S} \cap (\omega_{\varepsilon_m} \setminus \omega_{\varepsilon_n})} v(z) (1 + i \cdot v_1(z)) \frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{|z|^2} \langle E(dz)f, h \rangle = \\ &= \langle Px(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)f, h \rangle, \quad f, h \in L_2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where $P = v(N)(I + iv_1(N))$ is a bounded normal operator that commutes with $x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)$. Put in (1.11) $f = \nu(t)$, $h = \nu(s)$, use the generalized Schwarz

inequality as above, and obtain, by (1.4), that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Phi_{\varepsilon_m}(s, t) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(s, t)| &= |\langle \phi(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(t)), \nu(s) \rangle| = \\
&= |\langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(t)), P^* \nu(s) \rangle| \leq \\
&\leq \langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(t)), \nu(t) \rangle \langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n) P P^*(\nu(s)), \nu(s) \rangle \leq \\
&\leq \|P P^*\| \langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(t)), \nu(t) \rangle \langle x(\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n)(\nu(s)), \nu(s) \rangle = \\
&= \|P P^*\| (X_{\varepsilon_m}(s, s) - X_{\varepsilon_n}(s, s)) (X_{\varepsilon_m}(t, t) - X_{\varepsilon_n}(t, t))
\end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ (in the next to the last inequality of (1.12), the Reid inequality [20, p. 59] was used). From (1.12), by (1.10), it follows that there exists $\Phi' \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_{\varepsilon_n} - \Phi'\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})} = 0.$$

Now, exactly as for $X(s, t)$ and $X'(s, t)$, we can conclude in view of (1.6) and (1.6)' that, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\Phi(s, t) = \Phi'(s, t)$$

for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and conversely. It follows that the function $\Phi'(s, t)$ is a K^0 -kernel of the integral operator $\phi(N)$. $\square$

2. REPRESENTATION OF K^0 -KERNELS BY BILINEAR SERIES

Theorem 2. *Let $N : D_N \rightarrow L_2$ be a normal integral operator induced by a K^0 -kernel $N(s, t)$ and having diagonal form*

$$Nf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n, \quad f \in D_N,$$

where $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset L_2$ is an orthonormal set, the numbers α_n ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) are in a sector of angle less than π with vertex at 0, and the series converges in L_2 -norm to Nf . Then the bilinear series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \varphi_n(s) \overline{\varphi_n(t)} \tag{2.0}$$

converges both absolutely and uniformly in $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ to the kernel $N(s, t)$.

Proof. Take an $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ so that the eigenvalues $\lambda_n = e^{i\alpha} \alpha_n = x_n + iy_n$ of the operator $P = e^{i\alpha} N$ are in the sector bounded by the rays $y = \pm lx$, where $x \geq 0$, $l > 0$. The diagonal operator

$$Tf = \frac{P + P^*}{2} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n, \quad f \in D_T = D_N, \tag{2.1}$$

is an integral one having the K^0 -kernel

$$K(s, t) = \overline{K(s, t)} = \frac{e^{i\alpha} N(s, t) + e^{-i\alpha} \overline{N(t, s)}}{2}$$

and the Carleman function $k(s) = \overline{K(s, \cdot)}$. Assume, with no loss of generality, that $x_n > 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Since $k \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)$, the equivalence class Tf ($f \in D_T$) contains a unique continuous function; this function has the form $\langle f, k(s) \rangle$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}_+$) and belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore we can assume in what follows that

$$\varphi_n \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

because $\frac{1}{x_n} T\varphi_n = \varphi_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Consider the functions

$$K_m(s, t) = K(s, t) - \sum_{n=1}^m x_n \varphi_n(s) \overline{\varphi_n(t)}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

By virtue of (2.2), these functions belong to $C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, for every $f \in D_T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K_m(s, t) f(t) \overline{f(s)} dt ds &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n |\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^m x_n |\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} x_n |\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $K_m(s, s) \geq 0$ for all m and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (see, for example, [17, p. 263]), and hence

$$K(s, s) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n |\varphi_n(s)|^2 \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (2.3)$$

By virtue of the Cauchy inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{n=p}^q x_n |\varphi_n(s)| |\varphi_n(t)| \right)^2 \leq M \sum_{n=p}^q x_n |\varphi_n(s)|^2 \quad \text{for all } p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $M = \max_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} K(s, s)$. Consequently, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \varphi_n(s) \overline{\varphi_n(t)} \quad (2.5)$$

absolutely converges on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and uniformly converges with respect to t . Its sum-function $B(s, t)$ is continuous with respect to t for each fixed s , and vice versa. Apply the Bessel inequality to the function $k(s) \in L_2$ and obtain, for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^2 |\varphi_n(s)|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle \varphi_n, k(s) \rangle|^2 \leq \|k\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)}^2. \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from the Riesz-Fisher theorem that $B(s, \cdot) \in L_2$ and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} B(s, t) f(t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n(s) \quad (f \in L_2)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The series on right-hand side of the last equation uniformly converges, since, by the Cauchy inequality and by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=p}^q x_n \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n(s) \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=p}^q x_n^2 |\varphi_n(s)|^2 \cdot \sum_{n=p}^q |\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \leq \\ &\leq \|k\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2)}^2 \sum_{n=p}^q |\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that if $f \in D_T$, then by (2.1) the pointwise sum of this series is the exactly continuous function $(Tf)(s)$. Therefore, one can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (K(s, t) - B(s, t)) f(t) dt \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+$$

for each f from the dense set D_T in L_2 . Consequently, for every fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$K(s, t) = B(s, t) \tag{2.7}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, since both functions are continuous with respect to t . In particular, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$K(s, s) = B(s, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n |\varphi_n(s)|^2. \tag{2.8}$$

The series (2.8) can be considered on the compactum $[0, \infty]$, keeping in mind that $K(\infty, \infty) = \varphi_n(\infty) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Hence, by the Dini theorem the series (2.8) converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+$. From (2.4) it follows that the series (2.5) uniformly converges on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ to the kernel $K(s, t)$. Using the estimate $|\alpha_n| = |\lambda_n| \leq x_n \sqrt{1 + l^2}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) and the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^q |\lambda_n| |\varphi_n(s)| |\varphi_n(t)| \leq \sqrt{1 + l^2} \left(\sum_{n=p}^q x_n |\varphi_n(s)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=p}^q x_n |\varphi_n(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, the series (2.0) uniformly converges on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$. Its sum $\Omega(s, t)$ is a function belonging to $(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$. Now apply preceding reasoning to the functions $\Omega(s, t)$ and $N(s, t)$ in place of $B(s, t)$ and $K(s, t)$ in (2.7) to infer that $\Omega(s, t) \equiv N(s, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman, *The theory of linear operators in Hilbert space*, Nauka, Moscow, 1966.
2. Yu. M. Berezanskii, *Expansions in eigenfunctions of selfadjoint operators*, Trans. Math. Monographs, vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.
3. J. W. Williams, *Linear integral equations with singular normal kernels of class I*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **68**(2) (1979), 567-579.
4. M. R. Dostanić, *Generalizations of Mercer's theorem for a class of nonselfadjoint operators*, Mat. Vesnik, **41**(2) (1989), 77-82.
5. M. R. Dostanić, *Generalization of the Mercer's theorem*, Publications de l'institut mathématique (Beograd) (N.S.), **54**(68) (1993), 63-70.
6. T. Carleman, *Sur les équations intégrales singulières à noyau réel et symétrique*, Uppsala: A.-B. Lundequistska Bokhandeln, 1923.
7. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
8. V. B. Korotkov, *Integral operators* (in Russian), Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1983.
9. V. B. Korotkov, *Questions of the theory of integral operators* (in Russian), The Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 1988.
10. C. G. Costley, *On singular normal linear equations*, Can. math. bull., **13** (1970), 199-203.
11. M. A. Krasnoselskii, P. P. Zabreiko, E. N. Pustyl'nik, P. E. Sobolevskii, *Integral operators in spaces of summable functions*, Leyden, Noordhoff, 1976.
12. J. Mercer, *Functions of positive and negative type, and their connection with the theory of integral equations*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A., **209** (1909), 415-446.
13. I. M. Novitskiĭ, *Representations of kernels of integral operators by bilinear series*, Siber. Math. J., **25**(5) (1984), 774-778 [Sibir. Matem. Zh., **25**(5) (1984), 114-118].
14. I. M. Novitskiĭ, *Simultaneous unitary equivalence of operator families to integral operators with smooth kernels and its applications*, Preprint / Institute for Applied Mathematics of Far-Eastern Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Vladivostok, 1990, 29 pp.
15. I. M. Novitskiĭ, *Integral representations of linear operators by smooth Carleman kernels of Mercer type*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **68**(3) (1994), 161-177.
16. I. M. Novitskiĭ, *Reduction of linear operators in L_2 to integral form with smooth kernels*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **318**(5) (1991), 1088-1091.
17. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional analysis*, Ungar, New York, 1955.
18. W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New-York, 1973.
19. W. J. Trjitzinsky, *Singular integral equations with complex valued kernels*, Ann. mat. pura ed appl., **25** (1946), 197-254.
20. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1974.

INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 9,
SHEVCHENKO STREET, Khabarovsk 680 000, RUSSIA
E-mail address: novim@iam.khv.ru

INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 9,
SHEVCHENKO STREET, Khabarovsk 680 000, RUSSIA
E-mail address: romanov@iam.khv.ru